Quadratic forms on surfaces

(1)

Let S be a surface. Det A Riemannian metric on S is a family of scalar products (.,.) on each tangent space TpS', peS, such that (·,·) depends smoothly on p. To explain, let  $\Psi: V \longrightarrow U$  be a parametrization. If ge V and p= 4(g), then TpS has a basis ( dut, dot). Hence, the scalar product (.,.), is represented by its Gram matrix E = < out, out>  $M = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}$  $F = \langle \partial_{\alpha} \Psi, \partial_{\sigma} \Psi \rangle$ G = < 2,4, 2,4 / We save, that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  depends smoothly on p, if all 3 functions E, F, G are smooth (on U, where they are defined).  $E_X$  For any peS we have  $T_pS \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is equipped with the standard scalar product  $\langle X, Y \rangle_{st} := X_1 Y_1 + X_2 Y_2 + X_3 Y_3$ 

we can restrict (.;.)<sub>st</sub> to TpS to obtain a scalar product on TpS. This is a Riemannian metric on S, since E(u,v) = < dut, dut > = < dut, dut > st is a smooth function of (u,v) (and similarly for F and G). This particular Riemannian metric on S is called the first fundamental form of S in the classical theory of surfaces. <u>Exercise</u> Let (.,.) be the first fundamental form of S and f: S-> S be a diffeomorphism. For V, WE TpS define a new scalar product  $\langle v, w \rangle_{\xi} := \langle d_{p}f(v), d_{p}f(w) \rangle_{\xi(p)}$ Types Types Show that (.,.) is a Riemannian metric on S.

For the sake of simplicity of exposition, 3 assume S is oriented and let n be the unit normal field. We can view n as a smooth map  $N: S \longrightarrow S^{L}$ which is called the Gauss map. Thun YPES we have  $d_p n : T_p S \longrightarrow T_{n(p)} S^2 = n(p)^{\perp} = T_p S$ This is called the shape operator. As a linear map in a 2-dimensional vector space, the shape operator has two invariants: K(p):=det(dpu) and  $H(p) := -\frac{1}{2} tr(d_{p,n})$ Det K(p) is called the Gauss curvature and H(p) is called the mean curvature of S at p. K, H are smooth functions on S.  $\frac{E \times 1}{Gauss} = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$ Shape operator den =0

$$\Rightarrow K = 0.$$

$$Ex 2 S_{n}^{2} := \begin{cases} x \in \mathbb{R}^{s} \mid |x|^{2} = 2^{2} \\ Gauss map & h(p) = \frac{1}{2}p \\ The shape operator: dpn (v) = \frac{1}{2}v \Rightarrow dpn = \frac{1}{2}ii \\ \Rightarrow K(p) = \frac{1}{2^{2}} is constant on S^{2} \\ Tf 2 \rightarrow \infty, K(p) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and the sphere looks more and more flat in a ubbd of each point (that is why our Earth is "flot"). Thus, we can view the Gauss convature as a measure of flatness of S. 
Lemma The shape operator is symmetric, that is  $\langle d_{pn}(v), w \rangle = \langle v, d_{pn}(w) \rangle$ 
 $\forall p \in S$  and  $\forall v, w \in TpS$ .
  
Picof Let  $\Psi: V \rightarrow S$  be a parametrization str.  $\Psi(o) = p$ . Then  $(\Im_{u}\Psi, \Im_{v}\Psi)|_{(u,v)=0}$  is a baris of  $TpS$ . Hence, it suffices to show the equality  $\langle d_{pn}(\Im_{u}\Psi), \Im_{v}\Psi \rangle = \langle \Im_{u}\Psi, d_{pn}(\Im_{v}\Psi) \rangle$ , (x) where the derivatives are evaluated at the origin.$$

To this end, notice that by the definition (5)  
of a we have  
$$\langle n(\Upsilon(u,v)), \vartheta_u \Upsilon(u,v) \rangle = 0 \quad \Im(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{v}) \in \mathbb{V}$$
  
Differentiating this equality with respect to v  
and setting  $(u,v) = 0$ , we obtain  
 $\langle \vartheta_{u} (\vartheta_{u} \Upsilon), \vartheta_{v} \Upsilon \rangle + \langle n(p), \vartheta_{uv} \Upsilon \rangle = 0$   
Similarly, we obtain  
 $\langle \vartheta_{u} \Upsilon, \vartheta_{p} (\vartheta_{v} \Upsilon) \rangle + \langle \vartheta_{uv} \Upsilon, n(p) \rangle = 0$   
Subtracting these two equalities, we arrive  
at  $(4, \kappa)$ .  
 $\underline{Def}$  The bilinear symmetric map  
 $I: T_{p}S \times T_{p}S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $(\Upsilon, w) \longmapsto \langle \Upsilon, \vartheta_{p} n(w) \rangle_{p}$   
is called the second fundamental form of S  
(at the point p).  
Notice that  $I$  is support, that is  
for any parametrication  $\Upsilon$   
 $I(\vartheta_{u} \Upsilon(u,v), \vartheta_{u} \Upsilon(u,v)), I(\vartheta_{u} \Upsilon, \vartheta_{v} \Upsilon),$   
 $I(\vartheta_{v} \Upsilon, \vartheta_{v} \Upsilon)$ 

are smooth functions of (4, v).

Rem One can recover the shape operator from the second fundamental form, that is these two objects contain the same ammount of information.

The geometric meaning of the sign  
of the Gauss curvature.  
Let 
$$p \in S$$
 be a critical  $pt$  of  $f \in C^{-}(S)$ .  
Given  $v \in T_{p}S$ , pick  $\chi : (-s, \varepsilon) \rightarrow S$  s.t.  
 $\chi(s) = p$  and  $\chi(p) = V$ .  
Def The map  
Hers,  $f : T_{p}S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , Hers,  $f(v) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=S} (f \circ \chi(t))$   
is called the Hessian of  $f$  at  $p$ .

Prop (i) Hesspf is a well-defined quadratic map; (ii) If p is a pt of loc. minimum, then Hersp(F)(v)≥ 0 V v ∈ TpS. If p is a pt of loc. maximum, then Hesspf(v) ≤ 0.
(Lii) If Hess f (v) > 0 V ≠ 0, then p is a pt of loc minimum. If Hesspf(v) < 0 V ≠ 0, then p is a pt</p>



Kecalling that  $\beta'(o) = -\frac{1}{p}P(v)$ , we see (8) that the right-hand-ride of (7.\*) depends only on B'(0) and not on the choice of y. Moreover, (7.\*) also shows that Hess f (v) is a guadratic form of V. In fact we have shown that Hess of corresponds to the Herrian of the loc. representation F of f in the following seuse : The diagram TPS Hess f Jdyg > R R<sup>2</sup> Hess F commutes. That is we can identify Hesspf with Hess<sub>P(p)</sub> F by means of the isomorphism  $d_p P$ :  $T_p S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . This immediately implies (ii) and (lii).

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be any fixed vector,  $a \neq 0$ . 9 Let ha: S -> R be the restriction of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\times \longmapsto \langle x, a \rangle$ . Then ha is called the height function on S in the direction of a. Notice that p is a critical pt of ha if and only if TpS La.

Ex For a=(0,0,1) we have the standard height function



Prop Let n be an orientation of S. Then for any pe S we have The = - Hess (hunger)

a translation and a rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (1) Since the shape operator don: T.S-T.S Ĩ< ΐ́́ R<sup>2</sup> is symmetric, d'u has two real eigenvalues, say k, and k2. Consider the following cases:  $A) \quad K(p) > o \implies k_1 \cdot k_2 > o \implies b_1 \cdot k_2 > o \implies b_2 \cdot k_2 = b_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 = b_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 = b_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_2 = b_2 \cdot k_2 \cdot$ Hesso(hno) is either ponitive-definite or regative définite B) K(p) < 0 Z | attains S ponitive and negative attains both  $\Rightarrow$ In any ublid of p these are pts in S above and below TpS.

Rem If K(p) = 0, in general one (2) cannot say anything about the position of S relative to  $T_pS$ . Surfaces et positive curvature and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem Let S be a smooth connected surface. Thue (Jordan separation thus) If S is closed as a subset of R<sup>3</sup>, then R<sup>3</sup>\S has exactly two connected components, whose common boundary is S. Rem The Jordan separation theorem is a well-known result from topology. Its proot requises certain results from topology, which are typically not proved in a standard course in topology. Hence, we take the Jordan separation that as granted. An interested reader may find a proof in the book of Montiel-Ros (Thun. 4.16). If S is compact, then one and only one component of R<sup>3</sup> \S is bounded. This bounded open domain is called the inner domain of S. The unbounded domain is called the outer dom. of S.



$$S \cap W = \varphi'(o) \text{ and } \nabla \varphi(x) \neq o \quad \forall x \in W. \textcircled{P}$$

$$\underline{Exercise} \qquad Show \quad \text{that } \varphi|_{2 \text{ in } NW} < o \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi|_{2 \text{ out } NW} > 0 \quad (or \quad \text{the other wave around}).$$
In other words,  

$$Q_{\text{in}} \cap W = h \quad \varphi < o \\ \gamma \quad \text{and } \quad Q_{\text{out}} \cap W = f \quad \varphi > o \\ \gamma \quad \text{which we assume for the sake of definitions.}$$
Since  

$$g(p + t \quad \nabla \varphi(p)) = \varphi(p) + |\nabla \varphi(p)|^{2} \cdot t + o(t)^{2} > 0$$

$$\int_{U}^{U} \varphi(p)|$$
is pointing outwards for any  $p \in S \cap W.$ 
A similar argument shows that  $-\frac{\nabla \varphi(p)}{|\nabla \varphi(p)|}$ 
is pointing inwards.  
Let  $\hat{W}$  be any other open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{3}$  and  
 $\hat{\varphi} \in C^{\circ}(\hat{W}) \quad \text{s.t.}$ 

$$S \cap \hat{W} = \hat{\varphi} \stackrel{\circ}{(o)}, \quad \nabla \hat{\varphi}(x) \neq o \quad \forall x \in \hat{W},$$

$$Q_{\text{in}} \cap \hat{W} = \hat{f} \stackrel{\varphi(o)}{\gamma} \quad \text{and } Q_{\text{out}} \cap \hat{W} = \hat{f} \stackrel{\varphi(o)}{\gamma}.$$

Then 
$$\frac{\nabla \hat{\varphi}(p)}{|\nabla \hat{\varphi}(p)|}$$
 is necessarily pointing  $(\mathbf{T})$   
inwards. In particular,  
 $\frac{\nabla \hat{\varphi}(p)}{|\nabla \hat{\varphi}(p)|} = \frac{\nabla \varphi(p)}{|\nabla \varphi(p)|}$   $\forall p \in W \cap \hat{W} \cap S.$   
That is  
 $w(p) := \begin{cases} \frac{\nabla \varphi(p)}{|\nabla \varphi(p)|} & \text{if } p \in S \cap \hat{W}, \\ \frac{\nabla \hat{\varphi}(p)}{|\nabla \widehat{\varphi}(p)|} & \text{if } p \in S \cap \hat{W}, \end{cases}$   
is well-defined and smooth on  $S \cap (W \cup \hat{W}).$   
Since we can cover all of  $S$  by such subsets,  
 $u$  is a well-defined unit normal field  
pointing outwards.

(ior Let S be a cupt surface with (16) positive Gauss curvature. If n is the mit normal field pointing outwards, then the second fundamental form of S with respect to n is positive-definite. Proof Pick p' S and onsider the height function hup. This has a local maximum huer 1

at p, hence Hess harps = - Ip < 0 (=> Ip > 0. I Prop Let SCR<sup>s</sup> be a crupt connected surf. If K(p)>0 YpeS, then IZ in is convex, that is  $X, y \in \Omega_{i_n} \implies [X, y] \subset \Omega_{i_n}$ the sequent in R<sup>3</sup> connecting x and y. In particular, Qin is also convex and x, y e S => Jx, y[ < Iin.



(18) Let n be a unit normal vector at z pointing outwards (locally, so that a ubbd of z in S is located below the tangent plane). Then tless hun <0 so that hun has a strict loc. max. at z. Furthers more, can assume Z=0, N=(0,0,1), and V=(1,0,0)  $S = \{(u,v, f(u,v))\}$  in a norm of the origin. Consider the aurve  $\chi: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \longrightarrow S$  $\chi(t) = (t, 0, f(t, 0)).$ Since  $\chi(t)$  lies above (t,0,0), we must have f(t, 0)≥0 and f(0,0)=0. Hence, t=0 must be a pt of loc. min. for t in f(t,o). This is impossible, because h, . y : t ~ > \$(t, 0) must have a strict loc. max. at t= 0. 121 Solution of the exercise in the pf Since  $[x'_{n}, y'_{n}] \notin \Omega$ , there exists  $z'_{n} = t_{n}x'_{n} + (n-t_{n})y'_{n} \notin \Omega$  for some  $t_{n} \in [0, 1]$ . By the compactness of [0,1], there

there exists a subseq.  $t_{nm}$  converging (19) to some  $t \in [0,1]$ . In fact,  $t \in (0,1)$  since the endpoint of [x,y] belong to Q by construction.

Furthermore, any neighbourhood of  $Z:= t \times + (1-t) Y$  contains pts from the complement of  $\Omega$ , for example  $Z'_{n_m}$ for m sufficiently large. However, any ubbd of Z contains also points from  $\Omega$ , for example  $Z_{n_m}:= t_{n_m} \times_{n_m} + (1-t_{n_m}) Y_{n_m}$ provided m is sufficiently large. Hence,  $Z \in \partial \Omega = S$ .

Assume  $V \notin T_2S$ . Then any ubbd of z in [X, y] would contain pts both from  $\Omega$ and  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$ . Indeed, if S is given by the equation  $\Psi(p) = 0$  in a ubbd of z, then  $V \notin T_2S \iff \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle \neq 0$  $\implies \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \rangle + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z + tv) = \Psi(z) + t \langle \nabla \Psi(z), \vee \vee \vee + O(t^2)$  $\stackrel{"}{=} \Psi(z$ 

Prop Let S be a surface with tangent  
porifive Gauss curvature. The affine tangent  
plane  
$$T_p^{aS} = \{p + v \mid v \in T_p S\}$$
  
intersects S at p only.  
Proof Assume  $q \in T_p^{aS} \cap S$ ,  $q \neq p$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$   $\exists p, q \in \Omega$  in by the Prop. on P. 16.  
However, the positivity of the Gauss curvature  
implies that all pts in a nobud of p in  
 $T_p^{aS}$  lie in  $\Omega_{out}$ . This is a contradiction  $\square$ 

Thue Let S be a compact connected (20) surface. If K(p)>0 ¥p ∈ S, then the Gauss map of S  $n: S \longrightarrow S'$ is a diffeomorphism. Proot <u>Step 1</u> The Gauss map is a local diffeo. K(p):= det (dpn) => den is an iso => n is a loc. diffeo by the inverse function thus. <u>Step 2</u> The Gauss map is surjective. S is cmpt => n(s) c s' is cmpt  $\implies$  N(S) is closed, since  $S^2$  is Hausdorff Also, n(S) is clearly non-empty. Step 1 => n(S) is open =>  $n(S) = S^2$ since S<sup>2</sup> is connected. <u>Step 3</u> The Gauss map is injective.

Given ne S<sup>2</sup> counder the height function <sup>(2)</sup>  

$$H_n: \overline{\Omega}_{in} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
  
 $x \longmapsto \langle n, x \rangle$   
Notice that  $H_n | \overline{\partial \Omega}_{in} = S$   
Notice that any pt of loc. max. of  $H_n$  must  
be on  $\overline{\partial \Omega}_{in} = S$ , since  $\nabla H_n \neq 0$  at any  
interior pt of  $\overline{\Omega}_{in}$ .  
Assume  $H_n$  has two distinct pts of loc.  
maxima. Denote these pts by p and g.  
Can assume  
 $H_n(p) \ge H_n(q)$ .  
Case 1.  $H_n(p) > H_n(q)$   
Then we have  
 $H_n(\pm p + (n-\pm)q) = \pm H_n(p) + (n-\pm)H_n(q)$   
 $> \pm H_n(q) + (n-\pm)H_n(q) = H_n(q)$ .  
For  $\pm \to 0$ ,  $\pm >0$  we have  
 $P_{t:=\pm p + (n-\pm)}q \longrightarrow q$  and  $H_n(p_t) > H_n(q)$ .  
Thus, g cannot be a pt of loc. max. For  $H_n$ 

Case 2. 
$$H_n(p) = H_n(q)$$
 (22  
 $\langle = \rangle$   $\langle n, p-q \rangle = 0$   
 $\implies p-q \in T_p S$   
 $\implies p+t(p-q) \in T_p S$   $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$   
 $\stackrel{t=-1}{\Longrightarrow} q \in T_p S \implies q=p.$  Contradiction.

This shows that 
$$H_n$$
 has at most one  
loc. maximum on  $Q_{in}$ . Since  $\overline{Q}_{in}$  is cmpt,  
such pt meest exist, so that  $H_n$  has a  
unique pt of loc. maximum p, which lies on S.  
Then p is also a unique pt of loc. max.  
for  $h_n$ , that is a unique solution of  
 $H(q) = h$ .

Step 1 => this map is smooth.  
Corollary Let S be any compact surface  
with positive Gauss curvature K. Then  

$$\int_{S} K = 4\pi$$
.

Proof



Then we have  

$$\int K = 4\pi (1-g)$$
S
for any cupt surface. This is the

celebrated Gauss-Bonnet thur.